1. **Publicizing Election Results**
   a. We recommended during the last Senate meeting that, immediately following each election cycle (including “surveys” such as votes to approve/disapprove changes to the University Senate Bylaws), the results be reported to the voting faculty to include just the winner and the % of the vote that they received.
   b. Should this be made subject of a Senate Resolution? That might be the best way, with the idea that such Resolutions eventually be made permanent either through a stand-alone “Policy” or a change to the University Senate Bylaws.

2. **Status: Faculty Evaluation of Administrators**
   a. Because of an event conflict, the committee was unable to hear a briefing on their plans by Human Resources and Institutional Effectiveness.
   b. After they have shared the results with our committee, HR/IE plan to brief the University Senate, probably at the next Senate meeting.

3. **Status: Bylaws Change Survey Summer 2013: Lessons Learned**
   a. There were some editorial changes needed in the exact wording of the Bylaws Changes. Next time, our committee will need to proofread these well before the election itself, to make sure that the ExComm agrees with any editorial changes. (This did not prove to be an obstacle this time.)
   b. The Senate Secretary is tasked by the Bylaws to maintain a list of eligible voters, but the process of doing so isn’t clear. We recommend that be spelled out to ensure the integrity of our elections.
   c. There was an issue in obtaining a quorum of 25%. We recommend that either a longer period be allocated for voting, every day or two publicizing “where we stand” as a percentage of the required quorum; or that the size of the required quorum be reviewed.

4. **“GRU Policies” Initiative and “Responsibilities of University Senate Committee Chairs” Initiative** are each to be addressed as separate issues on today’s Agenda.

5. **Long range plan to review Bylaws & Statutes for inconsistencies & typos (see Article X Section 2 of the Statutes at: http://www.gru.edu/universitysenate/documents/gru-statutes.pdf).**
   a. The University Statutes state that our committee must review the By-Laws and Statutes every two years and recommend any necessary changes. The question is: How? We will be exploring ideas at our next meeting.
   b. Input from the University Senate would be welcome.

6. **Schedule for next meeting.**
   a. Our next meeting is scheduled for Friday, November 1, in order to accommodate IE and HR and their “Faculty Evaluation of Administrators” briefing; this will leave us little leeway before the University Senate meeting scheduled for the following Monday.
   b. We will plan to ask for that briefing to be on the Senate’s agenda, under the hopeful assumption that our committee approves its content.
   c. If that proves not to be the case, we may have a late request to postpone the briefing for another month.