1. Approval of draft minutes of previous meeting
   Lacking a quorum, we were unable to do this; it was postponed until our next meeting.

2. Subcommittee Reports
   a. Faculty Evaluation of Administrators
      As LB was unable to attend, SS gave us an update. Susan Norton (VP of HR) gave the same
      presentation to the Senate that she’d given to us on Nov 1 (although she gave the PowerPoint
      version to the Senate). It went well; there were only a few questions, with which it was easy to deal.
      PH made a motion to incorporate our survey into the more general one, which was approved.
      Also, it looks like Department leadership will be broken out only if there are more than six members in
      the Department. We are moving forward with a Spring launch. Senators were told to contact us
      regarding any specific areas that they feel are not covered (and to not focus on specific questions).
      We pause on this issue now. The next step is in the Spring: Norton will get a package with a bank of
      questions and she will meet with our subcommittee to make sure that the questions we need for our
      evaluation are on there.

3. Unfinished Business
   a. Publicizing Election Results:
      The Senate approved the announcing of the winner in a timely fashion and, in cases where the
      vote is yes/no (and not for a person), giving the % of the winning vote. The opposition to
      including the % for a winning person was nearly universal. We are treating this issue as closed.
   b. “GRU Policies” Initiative
      This, too, is essentially closed. There will now be a faculty representative on the Policy
      Committee and a process for passing out policies for faculty review. The Senate approved both
      things (and we need to check the wording). We need to make sure that it is included in the
      Policy on Policies review. Jim Rush agreed to put it in.
   c. “Responsibilities of University Senate Committee Chairs” Initiative
      This was approved and we need to make sure that it is included in the Bylaws revision.
   d. Long range plan to review Bylaws & Statutes for inconsistencies & typos
      This remains open. Note that our responsibility extends only to Statutes. The Bylaws will be
      dealt with by an ad hoc committee headed by Senate Vice Chair required for Senate Bylaws. Some
      of us will likely be on that committee. Also, we are currently working on determining the proper
      procedure after an affirmative vote to change the bylaws. The Secretary does make the changes,
      but he reported that he simply has not had time. EH then asked a question about whether we
      still had a faculty manual, and it was noted that previous policies (from our ASU faculty manual)
      are still in effect only if there is not yet a GRU policy in effect at present; otherwise, the new
      GRU policies override any previous ASU policies.
4. **New Business**
SS offered a brief report on his recent meeting with the Ex Com. No one had not been providing minutes from the Senate minutes; instead, they were providing summaries and then voting to approve the summaries. However, much was left out of the summaries, including the binding resolutions made at the meeting; the existences of those resolutions and their exact wording is important. They will not be properly posted.

The next Senate meeting will segue into a Faculty Assembly. It is all still downtown. SS has suggested that the practice of holding back-to-back meetings is not good and that they need to do something to bring people in. Since the first Assembly, at which we approved the Bylaws, not a single one has had a quorum. SS suggests having In Service Days for such things or having them on Reading Day or a holiday that local schools observe, such as Veteran’s Day.

5. **Schedule for next meeting**
We will meet the week of January 13th, 2013 at the medical campus; SS will initiate a “doodle” survey the previous week to see which day and time works the best for the committee, given their Spring Semester schedules.