

BLUEPRINT FOR COORDINATOR SUCCESS

Staying one Step Ahead of Your Program Director

Marilane B. Bond, EdD, MBA

Assistant Dean & Director, GME

Emory University School of Medicine



Handout: Program Coordinator Responsibility Timeline

- Timeline provides an overview of the Coordinator's responsibilities
 - Customize to specialty specific program coordinator & structure of GME
 - Coordinator's general responsibilities with ACGME requirements
 - Broad perspective of requirements
 - Resources for additional information



HIGHLIGHTS

- ACGME
 - Common Program Requirements, Institutional Requirements, Specialty Requirements
 - WebADS
 - Resident Surveys
- NRMP
- Evaluations
 - Appointment/Reappointment
 - Annual Program Review
- Internal Reviews
- External Reviews/Site Visits



ACGME

AIME

TYPES OF REQUIREMENTS

- Common Program Requirements
 - Common Program Requirements for One-Year Fellowships
- Specialty-Specific Program Requirements
- Institutional Requirements



TYPES OF REQUIREMENTS

- Be familiar with institutional requirements
- Know Common Program Requirements
- Know Specialty-specific Program Requirements
- Be aware of requirement revisions
 - RRC distributes revisions for review and comments



Knowledge of Program Requirements

- Remain current on changing requirements and trends
 - Join listserve for your specialty if available
 - Network with friends in the same or other specialties
 - Attend national meetings to learn about the GME issues



ACGME Database Applications

- Resident Case Log System (web-based)
 - Resident experience/case/procedure data
- Accreditation Data System (WebADS)
 - Annual data collection
 - Change notification
 - Site visit preparation
 - Resident survey



ACGME WebADS

- Verify information annually
- Submit changes
 - Resident complement
 - Change in curriculum
 - Program Director change
 - Change in participating institution
- Update site visit materials
- Administer resident survey



ACGME RESIDENT SURVEY

- Administered between January and May
- Core programs and subspecialties [4 + residents]
- Administered annually
- 70% compliance
- Programs must monitor residents' completion



ACGME RESIDENT SURVEY

- Areas with significant percentage of noncompliance – immediate attention
- Define “significant noncompliance”
- Important source of information during site visit



NATIONAL RESIDENT
MATCHING PROGRAM
(NRMP)



- **Know the match commitment:**
 - *“The listing of an applicant by a program on its certified rank order list or of a program by an applicant on the applicant’s certified rank order list establishes a binding commitment to offer or to accept an appointment if a match results.*
 - *Failure to honor this commitment by either party participating in a match will be a breach of this Agreement and may result in penalties to the breaching program or applicant...”*

EVALUATIONS



EVALUATIONS

- Formative evaluations of residents - completion of each rotation *[CPR V.A.1.a]*
- Evaluations showing multiple evaluators - faculty, peers, patients, nurses, and other professionals *[CPR V.A.1.b(2)]*
- Documentation of competency-based semi-annual evaluations with feedback *[CPR II.A.4.g]*



EVALUATIONS: Contract Non-Renewal Decisions

- Evaluation process is important
 - Determine whether resident satisfied competencies and should be promoted
- Timing of the semi-annual appointments vary by programs. Consideration in timeline:
 - 1st semi-annual = September-October
 - Detect potential problems
 - 2nd semi-annual = March-April
 - Allows time for remediation



EVALUATIONS

- Final summative evaluation - ‘verify that the fellow has demonstrated sufficient competence to enter practice without direct supervision’
Effective July 1, 2007 [CPR V.A.2]
- Annual written confidential evaluations of faculty by residents *[CPR V.B.3]*
- Annual written confidential evaluations of program by residents *[CPR V.C.1.d.(1)]*
- Annual written evaluations of program by faculty *[CPR V.C.1.d.(1)]*



EVALUATIONS

Program Evaluation and Improvement **[CPR V.C]**

1. The program must document formal, systematic evaluation of the curriculum at least annually. Monitor and track:
 - a) resident performance;
 - b) faculty development;
 - c) graduate performance; and,
 - d) program quality. Specifically:
 - (1) Residents and faculty must have the opportunity to evaluate the program confidentially and in writing at least annually, and
 - (2) The program must use the results of residents' assessments of the program together with other program evaluation results to improve the program.



EDUCATIONAL COMMITTEE: Getting Started

Set up an Educational Committee Meeting

- Educational Committee - held at least annually
- Include key teaching faculty from major rotations and off-site rotations
- Include at least one house staff member (suggest more for larger programs)



EDUCATIONAL COMMITTEE:

The purpose of the meeting:

- Provides an opportunity to gain insight from house staff and faculty on common problems
- Provides an opportunity for house staff to assist in the structure of their education
- Helps to educate house staff and teaching faculty on accreditation requirements
 - duty hours compliance
 - general competencies
 - needs for procedural information
 - standard program specific policies
 - use of evaluation tools
 - faculty development



ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW

- Demonstrate continuous quality educational improvement
- “Dress rehearsal” for your RRC site visit
 - Review of written documentation
 - Honest analysis
 - Compare against what’s required
 - Action plans
- Learn common citations in your specialty



ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW

- **What is the “outcome of the review?”**
 - If deficiencies are found → explicit plan of action
 - approved by the faculty
 - documented in the minutes
 - If deficiencies aren't found → 1 or 2 Continuous educational quality improvements



INTERNAL REVIEW



INTERNAL REVIEW

- Required by ACGME of Sponsoring **Institution**
- GME Committee is responsible to develop, implement & oversee internal review process.
- Conducted at **midpoint** between last accreditation visit and next RRC site visit
- **Not** department annual review



INTERNAL REVIEW

- Compliance with requirements
- Educational objectives and effectiveness
- Educational and financial resources
- Effectiveness in addressing areas of non compliance
- Concerns in previous ACGME accreditation letters/prior internal reviews



INTERNAL REVIEW

- Effectiveness of evaluation tools and outcome measures
- Annual program improvement in
 - Resident performance
 - Faculty development
 - Graduate performance including performance of program grads on exams
 - Program quality



INTERNAL REVIEW

- Use the information provided from the internal review to critically assess your program
 - Develop a plan to address each of the concerns raised by the review
 - Report progress to program education committee



EXTERNAL REVIEW/SITE VISIT



EXTERNAL REVIEW/ SITE VISIT: 'a continuous process'

- Knowledge of program requirements
- Annual program review
- Review internal mid-cycle review
- Involve faculty and residents
- Update PIF regularly



Site Visit Notification Letter

What to do when you receive the letter?

- Receive email notification @ 110 days out
- Confirm with your DIO, Division chief, chair
- Celebrate..you have a great program..your chance to shine!
- Set a timeline...working back from the date of the site visit
- Assess who you will need, especially any extra staff
- Pull RRC requirements and make sure all know it
- Know common citations, your specialty, your institution
- Pull last site visit, last internal review
- Program Information Form (PIF)
 - You should already be familiar
 - Review the last one
 - If not, start! One person to “touch”



PREPARE AN ACCURATE PIF

- Form a working group shortly after notification of the site visit
 - Divide the PIF into pieces and assign each part to an individual member
 - Choose each person for his/her expertise



PREPARE AN ACCURATE PIF

- Review the PIF and program requirements
- Responses should address the requirements
 - Use the narrative sections to explain areas not addressed in the structured format of the PIF
 - Avoid making the narrative redundant



PREPARE AN ACCURATE PIF

- Schedule periodic meetings of the working group
- Have someone read the document for internal consistency and to ensure clarity
- The program director is ultimately responsible for producing an accurate narrative of the program



SET UP A TIMELINE: About 11 weeks left

- Convene a meeting of all principles
- Discuss with residents, faculty
- Decide what you will delegate (if you will)
 - If yes, timelines, clear area of responsibility
- Review all program documentation
- Review all program files
- Refer to handout: Program Documentation for Site Visit
 - Organize documentation according to the Program requirement sections
- Continue working on PIF



PREPARING FACULTY & RESIDENTS

- Inform the faculty and residents of the upcoming site visit
 - They must be available
 - Must understand the importance
 - Be honest with the site reviewer, but not the appropriate venue to voice minor concerns



PREPARING FACULTY & RESIDENTS

- Meet with residents and faculty to remind them of the important elements of program
- Not appropriate to debate the merits of a program requirement with the site visitor; the site visitor is not the right person to speak to regarding this issue



Enlist Ongoing Help of Faculty and Residents

- Use feedback from ongoing meetings with residents and faculty to help keep program in compliance at all times
 - Program is responsive to residents needs
 - Maintain consistency among all groups



TIMELINE: About 10 weeks left

- Begin organizing documentation according to the Program requirement
- Continue working on PIF
 - Use short paragraphs, headings, bullets
 - Paginate correctly
 - Check grammar and spelling
- Try to fill in holes (if possible)



TIMELINE: 6 weeks

- Continue working on PIF & documentation
- Review ACGME survey of your residents
- Call or get in touch with site visitor (if you have not yet heard from him/her)
- Confirm agenda of the day (their agenda, not yours!)
 - Who they want to talk with
 - How many, which residents?
 - Which faculty?
 - Any others? DIO?
 - Will they wish to tour hospital? Call rooms? Go to clinics? Visit an off site location?
 - Plans to pick up, meet etc.
 - Be prompt



TIMELINE: 4 weeks left

- Make sure you reserve conference room for the entire day – no interruptions
- The Site Visitor wants to stay put – bring the faculty, residents, etc. to him/her
- If he/she hasn't already, DIO should proof your PIF
 - Confirm deadline for this review in advance
- Confirm lunch, order simple, confirm numbers (usually likes to eat with the residents – but ask)



TIMELINE: 3 weeks

- Obtain all required signatures



TIMELINE: 2 weeks

- Send PIF, right number of copies, follow instructions “on time” (send to site visitor’s address – not ACGME – “no signature required”)
- Send confirmed agenda to site visitor, map/directions and parking instructions
- Confirm anyone who is supposed to meet with site visitor will be available
- No pagers, cell phones etc.



TIMELINE: 1 week

- Gather all supporting documents and organize by category
- Last minute issues, questions etc.



TIMELINE: Day before

- Clean conference room
- Bring in all supporting documentation
- Get a good night's sleep



SITE VISIT DAY

- Have directions clearly written for the site visitor so he/she may locate you easily
- Have someone meet the site visitor at the door if possible
- Maintain a constructive and positive demeanor with the site reviewer



SITE VISIT DAY

- Ensure that all requested information is available
- Have a designated room available for the entire day if possible; it is better to have the faculty and residents come to the reviewer than to have the reviewer move around all day



SITE VISIT DAY

- Have someone available to retrieve additional information which might be requested by the visitor



SITE VISIT REPORT

[ACGME conference 2008]

- Review of program history
- Review of previous citations & actions taken to correct
- Review of institutional issues/citations
- Clarification/verification of PIF
 - Based on interview with faculty, residents, program director and others
- Clarification of resident complaints (if any submitted) to ACGME
- Clarification of residents' response to ACGME survey



summary

AIME

SUMMARY

- Keep calendar of residency related events
- Review ACGME webpage regularly
- Update your PIF regularly
 - Verify information in WebADS
- Monthly meetings with your Program Director
- Network with other Program Coordinators within your institution and in your specialty
- Attend national GME conferences



REFERENCE SOURCES

- AAMC- www.aamc.org
 - Roadmap to Residency: From Application to the Match and Beyond, 2nd Edition
- ACGME – www.acgme.org
 - Common program requirements, institutional and specialty-specific requirements, WebADS, etc.
- AHME – www.ahme.org
 - Guide to Medical Education in the Teaching Hospitals, 2nd Edition/ 3rd Edition available soon
- *For additional reference sources consult handout: Program Coordinator Responsibility Timeline*

